

Detailed Design (DR3) Checklist

Group Number: _____

TA or Group Performing Evaluation: _____

Grade: _____

Original Submission or Resubmission (circle one)

DESIGN CHECKLIST. Are the following items COMPLETE?

- YES NO Accurate assembly model for the mobile platform, including frame, attachment brackets, motors and mounts, wheel hubs, wheels, control box, and associated fasteners?
- YES NO Detail drawing(s) of motor mounts? Is material choice, geometry, attachment method, and general design justified?
- YES NO Detail drawing(s) of wheel hubs? Is material choice, thickness, geometry, torque transmission method, and general design justified?
- YES NO One simplified detail drawing for all unmodified pieces of 80/20 that includes a table with lengths, tols. and part numbers? Additional drawings for modified pieces.
- YES NO Assembly models and detail drawings for all other mechanisms and components?
- YES NO Detail drawings of all OTS components used on the project with clear dimensions of all features used to interface with other components (bolt patterns, [shaft details](#), etc.)?

ASSEMBLY DRAWINGS / BOM. Do the [assembly drawings and BOM](#) include the following?

- YES NO Complete BOM of entire design (i.e. one consolidated BOM table for quick reference)?
- YES NO Required [assembly drawing template](#) provided on the course webpage?
- YES NO ALL parts of the robot, including OTS components, fasteners, string, tape, etc.?
- YES NO Multiple views clearly showing all components of the design?
- YES NO Is each part's attachment method clearly defined?
- YES NO Required subassemblies of the frame, drivetrain, manipulator(s), hopper, sorter, etc.?
- YES NO Clear exploded views of all subassemblies
- YES NO Unique, sequentially labeled balloons pointing to every piece of the assembly?
- YES NO Assemblies denoted by *EML2322L-A-XXX* in their drawing numbers?
- YES NO Dimensions showing how individual pieces are located with respect to each other? (Individual feature dimensions should remain on detail drawings [where they belong](#).)
- YES NO Proper fasteners for each component? (i.e. 1/4-20 for 80/20, 10-24 for wheel hubs, M6x1.0 & M8x1.25 for Entstort motors, M4x0.7 for Denso motors and 10-32 for Molon motors)
- YES NO Proper fastener descriptions on BOM including thread specification, length and head type? (i.e. "1/4-20 x 1/2" button head cap screw" or "M6x1.0 x 25mm hex head bolt")

WRITTEN DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, BUDGET & REMAINING CALCULATIONS.

- YES NO Does the written design description clearly explain the final design?
- YES NO Does the group use the [required schedule template](#) and is it clearly formatted?
- YES NO Does the schedule contain detailed individual tasks and reasonable deadlines based on the [time estimation guidelines provided for part manufacturing](#)?
- YES NO Does the schedule assign individual tasks to individual members?
- YES NO Does the schedule include [the welding demo, any holidays](#), and adequate testing time?
- YES NO Does the group use the [required budget template](#) and is it clearly formatted?
- YES NO Does the budget include ALL raw materials needed for prototype manufacturing?
- YES NO Does the group [properly compute prices](#) for materials in the budget?
- YES NO Does the total project budget meet the [cost limit](#)?
- YES NO Are calculations reported with a reasonable and consistent number of decimal places?

DRAWINGS & DIMENSIONING. Highlights from the [Dimensioning Rules](#) document.

1. Never shade isometric or orthographic engineering drawings.
2. Always show hidden lines in orthographic views.
3. Always show tangent lines in isometric views, but never show hidden lines or dimensions.
4. Do not place too many views on one page or scale the views too small (spread across multiple sheets); likewise, do not place too many dimensions on one view if doing so affects drawing presentation.
5. Each dimension should be given clearly so it can be interpreted in only one way.
6. Do not place dimensions on a view unless clarity is promoted and long extension lines are avoided.
7. Dimensions should be placed in the views where the features dimensioned are shown true shape.
8. Dimensioning to hidden lines should always be avoided; use cross sectional views instead.
9. Dimensions should be so given that it will not be necessary for the machinist to calculate, scale, or assume any dimension.
10. Finish marks should be placed on the edge views of all finished surfaces.
11. Drill sizes should be expressed in decimals (i.e. $\text{Ø } 0.257$, $\text{Ø } 0.266$, etc.) with an assigned tolerance.
12. Circles (holes) are always dimensioned by the DIAMETER and arcs (fillets) by the RADIUS.
13. A diameter dimension should always be preceded by the symbol Ø , and a radius dim. by the letter R.
14. When there are several rough, non-critical features obviously the same size (fillets, rounds, ribs, etc.), it is permissible to give only typical (abbreviation TYP) dimensions or to use a note.
15. Decimal dimensions should be used for all machining dimensions. Decimal dimensions less than 1.0 should be preceded with a leading zero (i.e. 0.375).

DETAIL DRAWINGS. Does each drawing have the following information?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Appropriate EML2322L drawing template and title block |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Dimensions to properly locate EVERY part feature |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Appropriate tolerances for EVERY dimension |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Proper surface finish notes for EVERY surface (rarely “ <i>finish all surfaces</i> ”) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Proper hole and thread notes based on the tap chart |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Part designer’s name |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Part drawer’s name |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Drawing units |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Material type |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Quantity of parts to be manufactured |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Unique part name / number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Deburring instructions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are the highlighted rules in the Drawings & Dimensioning section followed? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are drawings full page and of nice print quality? (Print... to pdf, not directly to a printer) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are dimensions well organized and do they use consistent fonts and line weights? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Do tolerance tables fit individual part requirements? (Modify for each as necessary.) |

FASTENERS, THREADS, AND HOLES.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are threaded holes designed with AT LEAST FIVE threads of engagement? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are the proper type of threads (coarse or fine) used in the proper type of material? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are tap drill sizes correct based on the tap chart standards? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are clearance holes properly sized using close and free fit standards off the tap chart ? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Are fasteners selected which are routinely stocked in the lab ? (Other fasteners can be ordered by submitting a purchase order form , but doing so creates more work for your team.) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Do fastener head types allow for adequate motion with required assembly tools? (i.e. screwdrivers, allen wrenches, sockets & ratchets, rivet guns , etc.)? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Do motor mounting brackets use all of the provided motor mounting holes? (The Globe motor is the only exception) |

SHEETMETAL PARTS.

- YES NO Is part modeled using SolidWorks sheetmetal tools?
- YES NO Do sheetmetal part drawings include folded AND unfolded part views?
- YES NO Is material proper thickness for the application? (Too thick is hard to bend; too thin is flimsy.)
- YES NO Is part designed for manufacturing according to the [Sheetmetal Design Guide](#)?
(Complex parts split into multiple simpler parts, integrated weld tabs, etc.)
- YES NO If the part is to be welded, is it specified as steel? (Aluminum is much harder to weld.)

DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURING (DFM).

- YES NO Is each part as small as possible without affecting its function?
- YES NO Is each feature tolerance as large as possible while still meeting desired design intent?
(Mfg. time increases exponentially with feature tolerance.)
- YES NO Is each finished surface necessary for part function? Are the coarsest surface finish specifications used wherever possible? (Mfg. time increases exponentially with surface finish.)
- YES NO Is the number of dimension datums minimized? (Less edge findings = quicker part production.)
- YES NO Are material choices justified? Are lower strength materials that are easier to machine used everywhere possible? (Steel for example requires 3 times as long to machine as aluminum.)
- YES NO When possible are thru bolted holes used instead of threaded holes to reduce mfg. time?
- YES NO Are nominal (vs. arbitrary) part dimensions used where possible? (i.e. 3.00" vs. 3.04")
- YES NO Are parts designed for minimum raw-stock removal? (Less material removed = cheaper part.)
- YES NO Are similar parts designed to be identical instead of mirror images? (i.e. motor mounts)
- YES NO Is each part feature designed around nominal (commonly produced) cutter sizes?
- YES NO Have unnecessary features that increase manufacturing time been eliminated? (fillets, etc.)

- YES NO Is the assembly model accurate and has it been used to check for part interferences while still in the design phase? (The assembly model is not an academic exercise and these types of problems are MUCH more difficult to fix in the prototyping phase of the project.)
- YES NO Does the design allow space for assembly tools? (i.e. screwdrivers, sockets, wrenches)
- YES NO Have alternative designs been investigated which may lower manufacturing and assembly times? (i.e. designs which combine parts, or split parts; or designs which use sheetmetal vs. billet)?

APPENDICES.

- YES NO Is Appendix D (Est. Budget) properly labeled and located using the required template formatting and instructions in the [DRT](#)?

GENERAL POINTS.

- YES NO Does the final design meet all design objectives? (i.e. size, storage in box, team number, etc.)
- YES NO Is the design feasible and realizable with the resource provided? (Ask questions before submitting a design you aren't sure can be made within the allotted time frame)
- YES NO Does your team number appear on both sides of the robot using at least 3" tall characters?
- YES NO Are grammar, spelling, formatting, and printing at a collegiate level? (Mistakes will be graded harshly. If you don't take pride in your work, no one else will either.)
- YES NO Did you read and avoid the errors noted in the common mistakes section of the [DRT](#)?
- YES NO Is the report submitted in a properly sized and organized binder according to the [DRT](#)?
- YES NO Does the report notebook contain page lifters to prevent pages from tearing out when opening the notebook? (If they can't be found in the store, ask for a pair in the lab.)
- YES NO Are computer-generated, glued-in page tabs used to organize the report in the order shown in the [DRT](#)?